TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Session 1				
	CONSTITUTIONAL VISION OF JUSTICE				
1.	M.P. Singh, <i>Mapping the Constitutional Vision of Justice and Its Realization</i> , 3 Journal of National Law University, Delhi 1- 16 (2015-2016)	1			
2.	Rudolf C. Heredia , <i>Just Ends through Just Means: Justice as Liberty, Equality, Solidarity</i> , 46 (5) Economic and Political Weekly 47-54 (2011)	17			
3.	Justice V. R. Krishna Iyer, <i>The Dialectics of Social Justice versus the Realities of Forensic Praxis</i> ", Equal Justice and Forensic Process: Truth and Myth 1-50 (2010)	25			
4.	Fali S. Nariman, <i>Our Quest for Justice – and Why it Eludes Us</i> , Quest for Justice: Collection of Essays (Published By NJA Bhopal-2012)	75			
Case Laws					
1.	Common Cause (A Regd. Society) Vs. Union of India and Another 2018 SCC OnLine SC 208	89			
2.	Justice K.S. Puttaswamy and Ors. v. Union of India and Ors., (2017) 10 SCC 1	95			
3.	Shayara Bano vs. Union of India and Ors. (2017) 9 SCC 1	105			
4.	Subramanian Swamy Vs. Union of India (UOI), Ministry of Law and Ors. (2016) 7 SCC 221	109			
5.	Nabam Rebia and Ors. Vs. Deputy Speaker and Ors. (2016) 8 SCC 1	130			
6.	Supreme Court Advocates on Record Association vs. Union of India (2016) 5 SCC1	137			
	Session 2				
HIGH COURT AND DISTRICT JUDICIARY : BUILDING SYNERGIES					
1.	Jacob Herbert, <i>The Governance of the Trial Judges</i> , 31(1) Law & Society Review 3-30 (1997)	147			
2.	Justice S. Tamilvanan, Inspection of Courts – Civil & Criminal Court Registers- Assessment of works of Subordinate Officers, Effective District Administration 14-37 (2013)	175			
3.	Greg A. Caldeira, <i>The Incentives of Trial Judges and the Administration of Justice</i> , The Justice System Journal 163-180 (1977)	199			

	Case Laws	
1.	Sujoy Kumar Chanda vs. Damayanti Majhi (2014) 5 SCC 181	217
2.	Awani Kumar Upadhyay vs. The High Court of Judicature at Allahabad & Ors. (2013) 12 SCC 392	219
3.	Amar Pal Singh vs. State of UP (2012) 6 SCC 491	221
4.	Registrar General, Patna High Court vs. Pandey Gajendra Prasad & Ors. (2012) 6 SCC 357	223
5.	Khazia Mohammed Muzammil vs. The State of Karnataka & another (2010) 8 SCC 155	225
6.	Syed T A Naqshbandi vs. State of Jammu & Kashmir (2013) 9 SCC 592	232
7.	V.K. Jain v. High Court of Delhi through Registrar General (2008) 17 SCC 538	236
8.	Chandra Singh vs. State of Rajasthan & another (2003) 6 SCC 545	241
9.	High Court of Judicature at Rajasthan vs. P. P. Singh (2003) 4 SCC 239	243
10.	Gauhati High Court & another vs. Kuladhar Phukan & another (2002) 4 SCC 524	247
11.	'K' – A Judicial Officer (In Re) (2001) 3 SCC 554	250
12.	The High Court of Judicature at Bombay vs. Shashikant S. Patil & Anr. (2000) 1 SCC 416	253
13.	Samya Sett vs. Shambu Sarkar & Anr (2005) 6 SCC 767	256
	Session 3	
EL	EMENTS OF JUDICIAL BEHAVIOUR : ETHICS, NEUTRALI PROFESSIONALISM	ΓY AND
1.	<i>"The Bangalore Principles of Judicial Conduct"</i> , Adopted by Judicial Group on Strengthening Judicial Integrity, as Revised at the Round Table Meeting of Chief Justices Held at the Peace Palace, The Hague, November 25 – 26, 2002	261
2.	Justice R. V. Raveendran, <i>How to be a Good Judge – Advice to New Judges</i> , (2012) 9 SCC J-5	275

3.	Justice Ashok Bhushan, Values of Ethics in Dispensation of Justice, 97 (1) AIR J1-J6 (2010)	293
4.	Justice M. Jagannadha Rao, <i>Judicial Creativity and Innovation</i> , (2009) 7 SCC J-8	299
5.	Justice R.C. Lahoti, <i>Canons of Judicial Ethics</i> , NJA Occasional Paper Series No.5 (2005)	311
	Session 4	
	SOCIAL CONTEXT JUDGING AS A CONTROLLING ELEME TATUTORY INTERPRETATION AND EXERCISE OF DISCR	
1.	Justice Dipak Misra, Women Empowerment and Gender Justice, (2014) 6 SCC J-6	337
2.	Madhav Khosla, <i>Making Social Rights Conditional: Lessons from India</i> , 8(4) International Journal of Constitutional Law, 739 – 765 (2010)	357
3.	Prof. (Dr.) N. R. Madhava Menon, <i>Social Context Education for Social Justice Adjudication</i> , 1 Journal of The National Judicial Academy, 241 – 260 (2005)	385
4.	Stephen Reinhardt, <i>The Role of Social Justice in Judging Cases</i> , 1(1) University of St. Thomas Law Journal 18-29 (2003-2004)	405
	Case Laws	
1.	In Re: Inhuman Conditions in 1382 Prisons (2016) 3 SCC 700	417
2.	Dharam Pal vs. State of Haryana (2016) 4 SCC 160	428
3.	Alsia Pardhi vs. State of MP (2014) 2 SCC 725	430
4.	Public Union for Civil Liberties vs. State of Tamil Nadu and others (2013) 1 SCC 585	435
5.	Delhi Jal Board vs. National Campaign for Dignity and Rights of Sewerage & Allied Worker and others (2011) 8 SCC 568	440

	Session 5				
	ACCESS TO JUSTICE : INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY IN COURTS				
1.	Jane Donoghue, <i>The Rise of Digital Justice: Courtroom Technology, Public Participation and Access to Justice</i> , 80(6) The Modern Law Review Limited. 995–1025 (2017)	447			
2.	Atul Kaushik, Bringing the 'E' to Judicial Efficiency: Implementing the e-Courts System in India, State of the Indian Judiciary: A report by DAKSH, 25-40 (2016)	479			
3.	Dr. Justice G.C. Bharuka, <i>Technology and Timely Justice</i> , XXXV(1) Common Cause 5-12 (2016)	501			
4.	Jerry Goldman, Courts and Information Technology: A Predictable Uneasy Relationship, 55(2) Loyola Law Review 235-248 (2009)	509			
	Session 6				
	ACCESS TO JUSTICE : COURT AND CASE MANAGEMEN	Г			
1.	Justice M. B. Lokur, <i>Case Management through Court Annexed Mediation and Other Developments</i> (2013)	523			
2.	Justice P. Sathasivam, <i>Effective District Administration and Court Management</i> (Special Training Programme for District Judges and Chief Judicial Magistrates at Tamil Nadu State Judicial Academy, Chennai, June 15,2013)	543			
3.	Justice Sunil Ambwani. <i>Justice Administration: 'Case and Court Management'</i> . (Judicial Training and Research Institute, Lucknow, January 31,2009)	557			
4.	Justice Roshan Dalvi, "The Business of Court Management", XVI (III) Nyayadeep 13-35 (July 2015)	567			
	Case Laws				
1.	Hussain and Another vs. Union of India and Another (2017) 5 SCC 702	591			
2.	Rameshwari Devi and Others v. Nirmala Devi and Others (2011) 8 SCC 249	599			

Note: Some of the cases in the Reference Material have been edited in order to highlight certain issues for discussion in the programme. Please read the full judgment for conclusive opinion.